

Webinar "DOJ Opinion on the Wire Act"

Presenters

Jeff Ifrah (Ifrah Law PLLC)
George Rover (Princeton Global Strategies LLC)

Moderator

Robert Moncrief, Jr. (NJ Division of Gaming Enforcement)

February 19, 2019

Legal Status of Online Gaming Before Jan 14?

2011

DOJ's Office of Legal Counsel ("OLC") Memo: Wire Act's prohibitions are limited to sports betting.



Judicial Opinions Reaffirm Online Gaming is Legal

Subsequent appellate decisions reach the same conclusion, setting legal precedent:

- In re MasterCard Int'l Inc., Internet Gambling Litig., (5th Cir.)
- *United States v. Lyons*, (1st Circuit Court of Appeals notes that the Wire Act applied only to sports betting).
- Supreme Court's in Murphy v. NCAA, 138 U.S. 1641 (2018)
 references Wire Act prohibiting sporting events and contests (only).



What Happened on January 14th and Why?

- Jan. 14, 2019 DOJ's OLC reverses 2011 position
- Rod Rosenstein confirms a 90-day window
- Critics point to Coalition To Stop Internet Gambling



Impacts

- Online casino, poker, and lottery
- State lotteries
- State lawmakers and operators challenged to keep online gaming fully intra-state
- Cross-state liquidity



Legal Path Forward

- This Opinion vs. legal precedent?
- Suits against Justice filed



Why Arguments in Favor of **Federal Oversight** of Online Gaming are **Outdated and Overreaching**

TECHNOLOGY

- Technology = Consumer Protection
 - participants' transactions are safe and monitored
 - fraud protection
 - identities and age verification
 - documented money sources
 - transactions recorded



ECONOMICS

- States rights are growing and effective
- Economic benefits to states From 2013 through 2016 online gaming in NJ generated:
 - \$998.3 million in revenue
 - 374 jobs (full-time)
 - \$218.9 million in wages to employees and
 - \$124.4 million in tax revenue to state and local governments, including \$83.5 million in iGaming taxes.
- Unwinding state legislation could lead to economic harm



Concluding Remarks

Questions / Comments?